ESTTA Tracking number:

ESTTA229670 08/11/2008

Filing date:

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	92042082
Party	Defendant International Gold Star Trading Corp.
Correspondence Address	Roger S. Thompson Cohen, Pontani, Lieberman & Pavane LLP 551 Fifth AvenueSuite 1210 New York, NY 10176 UNITED STATES docket@cplplaw.com, rthompson@cplplaw.com
Submission	Motion to Strike
Filer's Name	Roger S. Thompson
Filer's e-mail	docket@cplplaw.com, rthompson@cplplaw.com
Signature	/Roger S. Thompson/
Date	08/11/2008
Attachments	5060-2L Exhibit A to Letter of 8-11-08.pdf (33 pages)(1019763 bytes) 5050-2L Letter to Board.pdf (2 pages)(137650 bytes)

Natalie Walewitsch

		S P PP
) 1		THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
2	- - -	BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD In the Matter of Registration No. 2,479,287 Issued on August 21, 2001
3		FOUR SEASONS DAIRY, INC.,
4		Petitioner,
5		-against-
6		
7	-	INTERNATIONAL GOLD STAR TRADING CORP.,
8	-	Registrant.
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		DEPOSITION OF NATALIE WALEWITSCH
14		New York, New York
15		Wednesday, June 4, 2008
16		
17		
18		
19		
20	70	
21		eported by: ARA FREUND
22		
23		
24		
23		

Natalie Walewitsch

		\Box
1		2
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10	DEPOSITION of NATALIE WALEWITSCH,	
11	a witness herein, taken pursuant to Article 31 of	
12	the Civil Practice Law & Rules of Testimony, and	
13	Notice, held at 189 Montague Street, Brooklyn, New]. (
14	York, at the above-mentioned time, before SARA	
15	FREUND, a shorthand reporter and a Notary Public of	
16	the State of New York.	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
25]
		(

Natalie Walewitsch

- 1			
	1	APPEARANCES:	3
	2		
	3	SAMUEL FRIEDMAN, ESQ.	
	4	Attorney for Petitioner 225 Broadway - Suite 1804 Now York Now York 10007	
	5	New York, New York 10007	
	6		
	7	COHEN, PONTANI, LIEBERMAN & PAVANE 551 Fifth Avenue	
	8	New York, New York 10176	
	9	BY: ROGER S. THOMPSON, ESQ.	
	10		
	11	ALSO PRESENT:	
	12	Galina Pincow	
1	13		
	14		
	15		
	16		
	17		
	18		
	19		
	20		
	21		
	22		
	23		
	24		
1	25		
1			

Natalie Walewitsch

1	N. Walewitsch
2	NATALIE WALEWITSCH, after having
3	first been duly sworn by a Notary Public of the
4	State of New York, was examined and testified as
5	follows:
6	EXAMINATION BY MR. FRIEDMAN:
7	Q. Please state your name and address for
8	the record.
9	A. Natalie Walewitsch, 17 53rd Street,
10	Brooklyn, New York 11232.
11	MR. FRIEDMAN: Counsel have conferred
12	and have agreed upon some stipulations:
13	That all objections, except as to the
14	form of the question, are reserved until the
15	time of trial. That the transcript may be
16	sworn before any notary. And that counsel
17	for petitioner shall retain custody of the
18	original exhibits.
19	Q. Miss Walewitsch, I represent Four
20	Seasons Dairy. I will be asking you a number of
21	questions. The court reporter to my right will be
22	taking down everything I say and everything you
23	say. It's important that if you do not understand
24	a question that you let me know, and I will attempt
25	to rephrase the question.

Natalie Walewitsch

			5
) 1		N. Walewitsch
	2		Are currently employed?
	3	Α.	Yes.
	4	Q.	Where?
	5	Α.	Natar Foods.
	6	Q.	What is Natar Foods?
	7	A.	Wholesale distribution for European
	8	products.	
	9	Q.	Food products?
	10	Α.	Yes.
	11	Q.	What is your position with Natar Foods?
	12	Α.	Buyer.
1	13	Q.	Are you also a principal of the company?
	14	Α.	Yes.
	15	Q.	And for how long have you been a buyer
	16	for Natar	Foods?
	17	A.	Ten years.
	18	Q.	When you say "buyer," could you be a
	19	little bit	more specific about what you do as a
	20	buyer.	
	21	A.	I buy all the products whatever Natar
	22	Foods sells	5.
	23	Q.	And does Natar Foods sell any dairy
	24	products?	·
	25	Α.	Yes.
, 			

Natalie Walewitsch

1	N. Walewitsch	6 .
2	Q. When did Natar Foods start doing	
3	business?	
4	A. 1999.	
5	Q. Approximately when in 1999?	
6	A. Approximately August, September	
7	something like that.	
8	Q. So is it from that period of time	
9	from August or September of 1999 that you've	
10	been the buyer for Natar Foods?	
11	A. Yes.	
12	Q. Have you ever bought products from a	
13	company known as Four Seasons Dairy?	
14	A. Yes.	
15	Q. Have you bought a product under the	
16	brand "Babushkinow" from Four Seasons Dairy?	
17	A. Yes.	
18	Q. When did you start buying dairy products	
19	from Four Seasons Dairy?	
20	A. Since we opened.	
21	Q. Since you opened. So that would be	
22 .	around	
23	A. 1999.	
24	Q. With whom at Four Seasons do you	
25	interact?	
		1

Natalie Walewitsch

,		7
)	1	N. Walewitsch
	2	A. Both partners.
	3	Q. Who are those partners?
ĺ	4	A. Oleg and Alex.
	5	Q. That would be Alex Becker and Oleg
	6	Kessler?
	7	A. Yes.
	8	Q. And back in 1999 with whom at Four
	9	Seasons did you interact?
l	10	A. With both of them.
	11	Q. That would be Alex Becker and Oleg
	12	Kessler?
	13	A. Yes.
	14	Q. Back in 1999, could you tell us
	15	approximately how much of the dairy products you
	16	were purchasing from Four Seasons that you were
ĺ	17	purchasing under the name "Babushkinow"?
	18	A. Up to 30 cases, maybe, a week 30, 40.
	19	I can't tell you exactly, but approximately that.
	20	Q. Has Natar Foods continued purchasing
	21	dairy products under the name "Babushkinow" from
	22	Four Seasons from 1999 until today?
	23	A. Absolutely.
	24	Q. And has the volume of purchases
	25	increased or decreased?

		8
1	N. Walewitsch	,
2	A. Increased.	
3	Q. So continuously from 1999 until today	ĺ
4	the volume has increased?	
5	A. Yes.	
6	Q. I'm showing you something that was	
7	marked as Petitioner's Exhibit 1 of April 10 in	
8	this matter. Could you identify what you see in	
9	this?	
10	A. Yes.	•
11	Q. What is this, please?	
12	A. That's butter what they call "Babushka."	
13	Q. Is that a Four Seasons product?	(
14	A. Absolutely. We used it for the price	
15	list when we opened up.	
16	Q. I'm going to ask you a couple of	
17	questions still about Petitioner's 1.	
18	Is this, more or less, the label that's	
19	been on the product since Natar started buying from	
20	Four Seasons?	
21	A. Yes.	
22	Q. You mentioned a price list. I'm showing	
23	you what's been marked previously as Petitioner's	
24	Exhibit 13 at a deposition on April 10. Can you	
25	identify that?	

Natalie Walewitsch

,			9
,	1	N. Walewitsch	•
	2	A. Yes.	
	3	Q. What is this, please?	
	4	A. It's a price list for our company, Natar	
	5	Foods, that we use a few items. One of them is	
	6	Babushka's butter from Four Seasons.	
	7	Q. Is this the entire price list?	
	8	A. No. This is just a cover. This is the	
	9	cover for the price list for our company.	
	10	Q. Has Natar continued to use the	
	11	Babushkinow label on the cover of its price list	
	12	A. Yes.	
	13	Q. Let me finish the question before you	
	14	answer from whenever this started until the	
	15	present?	
	16	A. Yes.	
	17	Q. Can you tell me approximately when you	
	18	put the Babushkinow label on the cover of your	
	19	price list?	
	20	A. Maybe 2000, maybe '99 almost since we	
	21	opened.	
	22	Q. And this price list, is it distributed	
	23	to your customers?	
	24	A. Yes.	
	25	Q. Back in 1999 when Four Seasons sent	
1			

		10
1	N. Walewitsch	
2	products to Natar Foods, how was it sent, in what	
3	manner?	
4	A. They used to truck themselves. They	
5	used to bring the products on their own.	
6	Q. "They" being Alex and Oleg?	
7	A. Yes.	
8	Q. They would bring the products to where?	
9	A. To our warehouse.	
10	Q. Here in New York?	•
11	A. Yes.	
12	Q. Did Alex and Oleg themselves bring the	
13	products into the store?	(
14	A. Yes.	
15	Q. In what manner did they bill Natar for	
16	the product sold to Natar?	
17	A. With invoices.	
18	Q. Back in 1999, could you tell me a little	
19	bit about the process of how that was done, how	
20	they would provide the invoice to Natar?	
21	A. They used to write everything by hand.	
22	The invoices was not computerized. They took an	
23	order by the hand, and the invoices were also	
24	written by hand.	
25	Q. And were the invoices contained within a	(

Natalie Walewitsch

		11
1	N. Walewitsch	11
2	booklet they would carry around?	
3	A. Yes. Like a standard invoice receipt	
4	book however you call them.	
5	Q. Would it be a regular size, like an	
6	eight-and-a-half-by-eleven paper, or some other	
7	size?	
8	A. Like this. I'm not sure what size is	
9	that.	
10	Q. Well, if you look at Petitioner's 1 in	
11	front of you that's	
12	A. Yes. About that size.	
13	Q. Not very small?	
14	A. No.	
15	Q. I have no further questions at this	
16	time.	
17	EXAMINATION BY MR. THOMPSON:	
18	Q. Miss Walewitsch, my name is Roger	
19	Thompson. I represent International Gold Star	
20	Trading Corporation. It's represented by not just	
21	me, but its president, Miss Galina Pincow. Have	
22	you ever met Miss Pincow before?	
23	A. No.	
24	Q. Have you ever met anyone from	
25	International Gold Star Trading Corporation before?	

Natalie Walewitsch

		12
1	N. Walewitsch	
2	A. What do you mean by knowing anybody?	
3	Q. Have you ever met anyone from	
4	International Gold Star?	
5	A. Yes. I used to have people who used to	
6	work for her and then worked for my company, but	
7	owners, no.	
8	Q. Do you know when you first heard of	
9	International Gold Star Trading Corporation?	
10	A. Since we've been in the business.	
11	Q. What is your understanding of what	
12	International Gold Star Trading does?	
13	A. The same thing we do, distributing food	
14	products. And I believe they smoke the fish.	
15	MR. FRIEDMAN: I'm going to object to	
16	this questioning as exceeding the scope of	
17	direct.	
18	MR. THOMPSON: Okay.	
19	Q. As part of your buying duties at Natar	
20	did you ever buy products from International Gold	
21	Star Trading Corporation?	
22	A. No.	
23	Q. Does Natar have any retail	
24	establishments or is it only wholesale?	
25	A. Only wholesale.	

Natalie Walewitsch

	·	10
1	N. Walewitsch	13
2	Q. Now, first of all, is Mr. Friedman	
3	representing you here today? Is he acting as your	
4	lawyer, or not?	
5	A. No.	
6	Q. In preparation for your deposition were	
7	you shown any documents other than the two that we	
8	see in front of you right now?	
9	MR. FRIEDMAN: I'm just objecting	٠.
10	because your question assumes that she was	
11	shown the documents before the deposition.	
12	MR. THOMPSON: My question says I don't	
13	care if she was shown those two or not. I'm	
14	not meaning to infer that she was shown	•
15	those.	
16	MR. FRIEDMAN: Okay.	
17	A. These are the documents that I saw so	
18	far.	
19	Q. Were you shown those documents before	
20	you came here today?	
21	A. This is my product. Who's supposed to	
22	show me those products?	
23	MR. FRIEDMAN: Petitioner's 13 is a	
24	Natar Foods price list. It's been generated	
25	by this witness's company.	

		14
1	N. Walewitsch	17
2	MR. THOMPSON: I'm asking if she was	
3	shown it with a sticker attached to it	
4	showing that it was a document in this	
5	proceeding.	
6	Q. Now, this other document, which has been	
7	marked as Petitioner's 1, which shows a label	
8	attached to a Babushkinow dairy product is not a	
9	Natar product; is that correct?	
10	A. No. This is Four Seasons' product.	
11	Q. Is that the same label that has always	
12	been on the product insofar as you know?	
13	A. Yes.	4
14	Q. Exactly the same?	
15	A. I don't recall. Maybe a few letters or	
16	colors maybe, but it looks the same.	
17	Q. I ask you to compare Petitioner's 1 to	
18	Petitioner's 13, and in the lower right corner of	
19	Petitioner's 13 there is a picture of a Babushkinow	
20	product; is that right?	
21	A. Yes.	
22	Q. And on the bottom part of that they have	
23	some language in Cyrillic; is that right?	
24	A. Yes. It says "Maslo" is butter.	
25	Q. And "Maslo," that would be a Cyrillic	(

Natalie Walewitsch

		1 5
1	N. Walewitsch	15
2	word. I'll spell it for the purposes of the	
3	record. In English it would be M-A-S-L-O; is that	
4	right?	
5	A. Yes.	
6	Q. And that is Russian for butter; is that	
7	right?	
8	A. Yes.	
9	Q. However, on the pictures of Exhibit 1,	
10	the round label in that same location says	
11	"Granny." Is that correct?	
12	A. Yes.	
13	Q. So the two labels are different?	
14	A. If you consider them different, yes,	
15	it's different. But it's the same pictures and	
16	colors and the same setup.	
17	Q. Do you know if, in fact, you ever	
18	purchased products with the picture of Exhibit 1 on	
19	it that said Granny on the bottom?	
20	A. Well, obviously, if we use it for our	
21	price list we probably purchased from this one.	
22	Q. Again, for the purposes of the record	
23	when you say "this one" you're pointing to Exhibit	
24	13?	
25	A. Whatever is shown on our price list.	

Natalie Walewitsch

		16
1	N. Walewitsch	
2	Q. So, as far as you know you never	
3	purchased the one that's on Exhibit 1. Is that	
4	what you're telling me?	
5	MR. FRIEDMAN: Objection.	
6	A. What kind of question is that? We're	
7	still selling this product.	
8	Q. And that's the one with the word Granny	
9	on it?	
10	A. Yes.	
11	MR. FRIEDMAN: Objection.	
12	MR. THOMPSON: On what basis?	
13	MR. FRIEDMAN: Objection to form.	
14	MR. THOMPSON: The last one she was	
15	pointing to what she was referring to, and I	
16	was asking it for clarification if that's the	
17	one with the word Granny on it.	
18	MR. FRIEDMAN: You're asking for	
19	purposes of confusion.	
20	MR. THOMPSON: I'm trying to avoid	
21	confusion.	
22	Q. Do you know if, in fact, you have ever	
23	sold the product that has the word Granny on it?	
24	A. I'm not answering that.	
25	Q. Why not?	

	recent tracerioci	0/7/20
1	N. Walewitsch	17
2	A. Why not? Because I'm not going every	
3	day to check my product, every word if it's changed	
4	or not. I know I'm selling this product for a long	
5	time, and this is the product what I'm selling. If	
6	a company changed two letters or color or whatever,	
7	I can't keep up with that because I'm not standing	
8	in the refrigerator packing this butter.	
9	Q. So my question was if you knew, and if	•
10	your answer is you don't know	
11	A. I can't tell you the difference. Just I	
12	know I'm selling this butter and it says	
13	Babushkinow for ten years. I don't know if they	
14	changed a word and it says Maslo or Granny or	
15	whatever. What's the difference?	
16	Q. First of all, you said you were selling	
17	it for ten years, so that would make it in 1998.	
18	Is that what you meant?	
19	A. I'm just saying in general. You're	
20	trying to make me confused saying what's the	
21	difference between those two stickers. I'm saying	
22	that I'm not working in the refrigerator packing	
23	dairy products to make sure the label is staying	
24	the same on the same product. That's what I'm	

trying to tell you.

25

Natalie Walewitsch

		18
1	N. Walewitsch	
2	Q. I'm trying to be precise. And I hope	
3	you understand that it's important that we be clear	
4	that all of the testimony we get is completely true	
5	and accurate. Do you understand that?	
6	A. Absolutely. I told you I'm selling this	
7	butter since we opened our company. If the company	
8	decides to change a little bit on the label, I	
9	can't control that. Do you agree with me?	
10	Q. I didn't ask you	
11	A. I can't keep up to see if every product	
12	didn't change one letter or color or anything,	
13	right?	
14	Q. I don't know what you can or can't do.	
15	So you don't know if, in fact, you have	
16	ever sold the one with "Granny" on it?	
17	MR. FRIEDMAN: Objection. Asked and	
18	answered. You're badgering the witness.	
19	Move on.	
20	MR. THOMPSON: I will point out, Mr.	
21	Friedman, that you don't get to tell me to	
22	move on.	
23	MR. FRIEDMAN: Yes, I do.	
24	MR. THOMPSON: No, you don't.	
25	MR. FRIEDMAN: Yes, I do.	

	·	
1	N. Walewitsch	19
2	Q. Now, Miss Walewitsch, I'm asking you	
3	because I don't believe you have answered do you	
4	know if you have or have not sold the product that	
5	has the word Granny on it?	
6	A. We have sold that product.	
7	Q. Do you know when?	
8	A. I can't tell you exactly when.	
9	Q. Is it what you sell today?	٠.
10	A. Probably.	
11	Q. Do you know for sure?	
12	A. I can't tell for sure. If you show me	
13	this label today from Four Seasons' product I'm	
14	sorry, let me finish now if you show me this	
15	label today from Four Seasons' product on this	
16	particular item, and this was before, like you told	
17	me, and they changed which, the truth, I never	
18	paid attention to that. If you say this is	
19	different from this, I agree. So we're probably	
20	selling this butter right now.	
21	MR. FRIEDMAN: Witness is referring to	
22	Petitioner's Exhibit 1.	
23	Q. Please understand when you point to	
24	something and you say "this" and then "this," that	
25	doesn't show up on the printed record; so it's	

Natalie Walewitsch

		20
1	N. Walewitsch	
2	important that we identify. The first time you	
3	referred to the product was	
4	A. It says "Babushkinow" butter, and this	
5	says "Babushkinow" butter.	
6	MR. FRIEDMAN: Referring to Petitioner's	•
7	1 and 13.	
8	Q. I was simply trying to point out that	
9	for purposes of the written record, the first time	
10	you referred to "this" product you pointed to	
11	Exhibit 1, which is the green one on the right	
12	MR. FRIEDMAN: All you're doing now is	
13	confusing the record, Mr. Thompson. When the	
14	witness refers to the exhibit pointed out at	
15	that time, say it's Petitioner's 1 or	
16	Petitioner's 13. You are purposefully trying	
17	to confuse the record at this point, and I	
18	will not allow it.	
19	MR. THOMPSON: I will point out that	
20	when she was testifying I tried to interrupt	
21	to ask her to point to that, and she raised	
22	her hand and said "Let me finish." I was	
23	attempting very diligently	
24	MR. FRIEDMAN: You are attempting to	
25	confuse the record. That's clear, and the	

Natalie Walewitsch

1	N. Walewitsch	21
2	record will reflect that. Please move on.	
3	MR. THOMPSON: I believe what will be	
4	clear on the record is that you are refusing	
5	to allow this testimony to proceed, and I	
6	think that's highly inappropriate.	
7	Q. Now, I would like to ask you if you ever	:
8	sold any products called Babushkinow other than the	
9	ones that are shown in Exhibits 1 and 13?	
10	MR. FRIEDMAN: Objection.	
11	A. Butter?	
12	Q. Any products called Babushkinow.	
13	A. I'm not answering that.	
14	Q. Is that you are refusing to answer or	
15	you don't	
16	A. I refuse to answer.	
17	Q. May I ask why?	
18	A. It's my personal business. Does it make	
19	a difference?	
20	Q. I believe that it does.	
21	A. For me, no.	
22	Q. So you're refusing to answer the	
23	question?	
24	A. Yes.	
25	Q. Have you ever sold any other dairy	

Natalie Walewitsch

	\cdot	22
1	N. Walewitsch	22
2	product with the name Babushkinow on it?	
3	A. Yes.	
4	Q. What kind of product did you sell?	
5	A. Farmer's cheese.	
6	Q. Who was the supplier of that farmer's	
7	cheese?	
8	MR. FRIEDMAN: Objection. If this	
9	relates to Four Seasons, fine. But if it	
10	doesn't if you're pressing	
11	Does it relate to Four Seasons?	
12	THE WITNESS: It does not.	
13	MR. FRIEDMAN: You're trying to confuse	
14	the record clearly. Your client is a	
15	competitor with Miss Walewitsch, and you are	
16	proceeding improperly.	
17	MR. THOMPSON: I don't need to join you.	
18	Q. So since Mr. Friedman was trying so hard	
19	to confuse the record, I'll see if I can clear it	
20	up here. You said you have sold farmer's cheese,	
21	but I didn't hear your answer as to whether you	
22	bought it from Four Seasons or not.	
23	A. No.	
24	Q. Have you ever purchased any products	
25	with the name Babushkinow on it from someone other	

Natalie Walewitsch

		23
1	N. Walewitsch	
2	than Four Seasons?	
3	A. Yes.	
4	Q. May I ask from whom?	
5	MR. FRIEDMAN: Objection.	
6	A. I'm not answering that.	
7	Q. Has Natar ever sold farmer's cheese	
8	under its own label called Babushkinow?	
9	A. Yes.	
10	MR. THOMPSON: I'd like to have this	
11	marked as Respondent's Exhibit 5.	
12	(Respondent's Exhibit 5 was marked for	
13	identification.)	
14	Q. I ask you to look at Exhibit 5. Have	
15	you seen that before?	
16	A. Absolutely.	
17	Q. Can you please identify what that is?	
18	A. This is Natar's Babushka's Tvorog	
19	farmer's cheese.	
20	MR. THOMPSON: For the purposes of the	
21	written record Tvorog is spelled T-V-O-R-O-G.	
22	Q. Now, has Natar previously sold farmer's	
23	cheese under the name Babushkinow or Babushka?	
24	A. What do you mean "previous"?	
25	Q. Before today.	

Natalie Walewitsch

		24
1	N. Walewitsch	24
2	A. Of course.	
3	Q. Do you still sell that product?	
4	A. Yes.	
5	Q. Do you remember if you ever received a	
6	letter at Natar concerning the sale of farmer's	
7	cheese under the name Babushkinow from	
8	International Gold Star's attorneys?	
9	A. Maybe a long, long time ago.	
10	MR. THOMPSON: I'll have this marked as	
11	Exhibit 6.	
12	(Respondent's Exhibit 6 was marked for	
13	identification.)	
14	Q. I'll ask if you could look at Exhibit 6	
15	and tell me if you have ever seen that?	
16	A. Maybe. I probably did. Like I said,	
17	it's been a long while.	
18	MR. THOMPSON: Just for the record, this	
19	is a letter dated March 11, 2002 from the	
20	firm Cohen, Pontani, Lieberman & Pavane,	
21	counsel for International Gold Star.	
22	Q. It was addressed to Mr. Aron Walewitsch	
23	at Natar Foods; is that right?	
24	A. Yes.	
25	Q. Could you tell me who Mr. Aron	

One Penn Plaza, NYC email@tobyfeldman.com

		25
1	N. Walewitsch	
2	Walewitsch is?	
3	A. Yes. He's the president of the company.	
4	Q. Are you related to him?	
5	A. Yes.	
6	Q. In what capacity?	
7	A. He's my husband.	
8	Q. Although the letter is addressed to Mr.	
9	Aron Walewitsch, do you remember if you saw this	
10	letter in the time frame of March 2002?	
11	A. I don't recall. I know we received the	
12	letter. I can't tell you probably this one.	
13	Q. Do you remember if you and by "you" I	
14	mean Natar took any steps in response to this	
15	letter?	
16	A. No.	
17	Q. Did you, at the time, indicate that you	
18	would stop selling the farmer's cheese under the	
19	name Babushkinow?	• ;
20	A. No.	
21	Q. Did you, in fact, stop selling the	
22	cheese at any time?	
23	A. No.	
24	Q. So did you continue selling this product	
25	continuously since the time of 2002?	

Natalie Walewitsch

6/4/2008

26 1 N. Walewitsch 2 Α. Yes. 3 0. With respect to the farmer's cheese sold 4 under the name Babushkinow that is the subject of 5 Exhibit 5, did you at Natar have that product 6 specifically made for you? 7 Α. Yes. 8 0. Was that by the name -- the product --9 MR. FRIEDMAN: I'm objecting to this on 10 the grounds exceeding the scope of direct. 11 You're clearly using this for purposes other 12 than this case, Mr. Thompson. It is totally 13 improper. 14 Q. And it is made by Queensborough Farms; 15 is that right? 16 Α. Probably. 17 ο. Did you ever buy a farmer's cheese from 18 19 I'd like the record to MR. FRIEDMAN: 20 reflect that Counsel is reading from notes 21 supplied by his client, who is sitting along 22 his side, who is a direct competitor of Miss 23 Walewitsch. This is improper, Mr. Thompson, 24 and I'm asking you to stop it. 25 MR. THOMPSON: I'm going to continue to

Natalie Walewitsch

1	N. Walewitsch	27
2	conduct my examination, whether you like it	
3	or not.	
4	MR. FRIEDMAN: This is irrelevant.	
5	A. I got to go. I can't answer any other	
6	questions. Besides our company, there is a lot of	
7	companies who sell products under Babushkinow. It	
8	doesn't have to be butter or farmer's cheese; it	
9	could be tomatoes, it could be pickles. A lot of	
10	people of different companies using this name. I	
11	don't think this is a restricted name because	
12	everybody is still using it until today.	
13	MISS PINCOW: Thank you.	
14	THE WITNESS: You're welcome.	
15	A. Any other questions, call me. I got to	
16	go. I'm sorry.	
17	MR. FRIEDMAN: Thank you very much.	
18	MR. THOMPSON: I will be moving to	
19	exclude this testimony since, again, your	
20	witnesses don't allow me to finish my	
21	examination.	
22	MR. FRIEDMAN: What do you mean "again"?	
23	MR. THOMPSON: Well, Mr. Nigrow	
24	MR. FRIEDMAN: Well, Mr. Nigrow, we're	
25	about to complete that.	

Natalie Walewitsch

1 N. Walewitsch 2 MR. THOMPSON: Will we get to complete 3 Miss Walewitsch, as well? 4 MR. FRIEDMAN: She's done. 5 MR. THOMPSON: I haven't finished my 6 questions. 7 MR. FRIEDMAN: You're using it for 8 improper purposes. 9 MR. THOMPSON: I'm allowed to impeach 10 the witness. You may not be aware of that. 11 MR. FRIEDMAN: You were not impeaching 12 the witness. You were attempting to delve 13 into matters that are between your client and 14 this witness. 15 MR. THOMPSON: I will point out for the 16 record that I am allowed to impeach 17 witnesses during my cross-examination. 18 MR. FRIEDMAN: It seemed like you were 19 finished. 20 MR. THOMPSON: I don't believe that I 21 did. 22 MR. THOMPSON: Well, I believe you did. 23 MR. THOMPSON: Well, you're wrong again. 24 And if you intend to use this testimony, 25 because she walked out during the middle of			
Miss Walewitsch, as well? MR. FRIEDMAN: She's done. MR. THOMPSON: I haven't finished my questions. MR. FRIEDMAN: You're using it for improper purposes. MR. THOMPSON: I'm allowed to impeach the witness. You may not be aware of that. MR. FRIEDMAN: You were not impeaching the witness. You were attempting to delve into matters that are between your client and this witness. MR. THOMPSON: I will point out for the record that I am allowed to impeach witnesses during my cross-examination. MR. FRIEDMAN: It seemed like you were finished. MR. THOMPSON: I don't believe that I did. MR. THOMPSON: Well, I believe you did. MR. THOMPSON: Well, you're wrong again. And if you intend to use this testimony,	1	N. Walewitsch	28
MR. FRIEDMAN: She's done. MR. THOMPSON: I haven't finished my questions. MR. FRIEDMAN: You're using it for improper purposes. MR. THOMPSON: I'm allowed to impeach the witness. You may not be aware of that. MR. FRIEDMAN: You were not impeaching the witness. You were attempting to delve into matters that are between your client and this witness. MR. THOMPSON: I will point out for the record that I am allowed to impeach witnesses during my cross-examination. MR. FRIEDMAN: It seemed like you were finished. MR. THOMPSON: I don't believe that I did. MR. FRIEDMAN: Well, I believe you did. MR. THOMPSON: Well, you're wrong again. And if you intend to use this testimony,	2	MR. THOMPSON: Will we get to complete	
MR. THOMPSON: I haven't finished my questions. MR. FRIEDMAN: You're using it for mproper purposes. MR. THOMPSON: I'm allowed to impeach the witness. You may not be aware of that. MR. FRIEDMAN: You were not impeaching the witness. You were attempting to delve into matters that are between your client and this witness. MR. THOMPSON: I will point out for the record that I am allowed to impeach witnesses during my cross-examination. MR. FRIEDMAN: It seemed like you were finished. MR. THOMPSON: I don't believe that I did. MR. FRIEDMAN: Well, I believe you did. MR. THOMPSON: Well, you're wrong again. And if you intend to use this testimony,	3	Miss Walewitsch, as well?	
MR. FRIEDMAN: You're using it for improper purposes. MR. THOMPSON: I'm allowed to impeach the witness. You may not be aware of that. MR. FRIEDMAN: You were not impeaching the witness. You were attempting to delve into matters that are between your client and this witness. MR. THOMPSON: I will point out for the record that I am allowed to impeach witnesses during my cross-examination. MR. FRIEDMAN: It seemed like you were finished. MR. THOMPSON: I don't believe that I did. MR. FRIEDMAN: Well, I believe you did. MR. THOMPSON: Well, you're wrong again. And if you intend to use this testimony,	4	MR. FRIEDMAN: She's done.	
MR. FRIEDMAN: You're using it for improper purposes. MR. THOMPSON: I'm allowed to impeach the witness. You may not be aware of that.' MR. FRIEDMAN: You were not impeaching the witness. You were attempting to delve into matters that are between your client and this witness. MR. THOMPSON: I will point out for the record that I am allowed to impeach witnesses during my cross-examination. MR. FRIEDMAN: It seemed like you were finished. MR. THOMPSON: I don't believe that I did. MR. FRIEDMAN: Well, I believe you did. MR. THOMPSON: Well, you're wrong again. And if you intend to use this testimony,	5	MR. THOMPSON: I haven't finished my	
improper purposes. MR. THOMPSON: I'm allowed to impeach the witness. You may not be aware of that. MR. FRIEDMAN: You were not impeaching the witness. You were attempting to delve into matters that are between your client and this witness. MR. THOMPSON: I will point out for the record that I am allowed to impeach witnesses during my cross-examination. MR. FRIEDMAN: It seemed like you were finished. MR. THOMPSON: I don't believe that I did. MR. FRIEDMAN: Well, I believe you did. MR. THOMPSON: Well, you're wrong again. And if you intend to use this testimony,	6	questions.	
9 MR. THOMPSON: I'm allowed to impeach 10 the witness. You may not be aware of that.' 11 MR. FRIEDMAN: You were not impeaching 12 the witness. You were attempting to delve 13 into matters that are between your client and 14 this witness. 15 MR. THOMPSON: I will point out for the 16 record that I am allowed to impeach 17 witnesses during my cross-examination. 18 MR. FRIEDMAN: It seemed like you were 19 finished. 20 MR. THOMPSON: I don't believe that I 21 did. 22 MR. FRIEDMAN: Well, I believe you did. 23 MR. THOMPSON: Well, you're wrong again. 24 And if you intend to use this testimony,	7	MR. FRIEDMAN: You're using it for	
the witness. You may not be aware of that. MR. FRIEDMAN: You were not impeaching the witness. You were attempting to delve into matters that are between your client and this witness. MR. THOMPSON: I will point out for the record that I am allowed to impeach witnesses during my cross-examination. MR. FRIEDMAN: It seemed like you were finished. MR. THOMPSON: I don't believe that I did. MR. FRIEDMAN: Well, I believe you did. MR. THOMPSON: Well, you're wrong again. And if you intend to use this testimony,	8	improper purposes.	
11 MR. FRIEDMAN: You were not impeaching 12 the witness. You were attempting to delve 13 into matters that are between your client and 14 this witness. 15 MR. THOMPSON: I will point out for the 16 record that I am allowed to impeach 17 witnesses during my cross-examination. 18 MR. FRIEDMAN: It seemed like you were 19 finished. 20 MR. THOMPSON: I don't believe that I 21 did. 22 MR. FRIEDMAN: Well, I believe you did. 23 MR. THOMPSON: Well, you're wrong again. 24 And if you intend to use this testimony,	9	MR. THOMPSON: I'm allowed to impeach	
the witness. You were attempting to delve into matters that are between your client and this witness. MR. THOMPSON: I will point out for the record that I am allowed to impeach witnesses during my cross-examination. MR. FRIEDMAN: It seemed like you were finished. MR. THOMPSON: I don't believe that I did. MR. FRIEDMAN: Well, I believe you did. MR. THOMPSON: Well, you're wrong again. And if you intend to use this testimony,	10	the witness. You may not be aware of that.	
into matters that are between your client and this witness. MR. THOMPSON: I will point out for the record that I am allowed to impeach witnesses during my cross-examination. MR. FRIEDMAN: It seemed like you were finished. MR. THOMPSON: I don't believe that I did. MR. FRIEDMAN: Well, I believe you did. MR. THOMPSON: Well, you're wrong again. And if you intend to use this testimony,	11	MR. FRIEDMAN: You were not impeaching	
this witness. MR. THOMPSON: I will point out for the record that I am allowed to impeach witnesses during my cross-examination. MR. FRIEDMAN: It seemed like you were finished. MR. THOMPSON: I don't believe that I did. MR. FRIEDMAN: Well, I believe you did. MR. THOMPSON: Well, you're wrong again. And if you intend to use this testimony,	12	the witness. You were attempting to delve	
MR. THOMPSON: I will point out for the record that I am allowed to impeach witnesses during my cross-examination. MR. FRIEDMAN: It seemed like you were finished. MR. THOMPSON: I don't believe that I did. MR. FRIEDMAN: Well, I believe you did. MR. THOMPSON: Well, you're wrong again. And if you intend to use this testimony,	13	into matters that are between your client and	(
record that I am allowed to impeach Witnesses during my cross-examination. MR. FRIEDMAN: It seemed like you were finished. MR. THOMPSON: I don't believe that I did. MR. FRIEDMAN: Well, I believe you did. MR. THOMPSON: Well, you're wrong again. And if you intend to use this testimony,	14	this witness.	
witnesses during my cross-examination. MR. FRIEDMAN: It seemed like you were finished. MR. THOMPSON: I don't believe that I did. MR. FRIEDMAN: Well, I believe you did. MR. THOMPSON: Well, you're wrong again. And if you intend to use this testimony,	15	MR. THOMPSON: I will point out for the	
MR. FRIEDMAN: It seemed like you were finished. MR. THOMPSON: I don't believe that I did. MR. FRIEDMAN: Well, I believe you did. MR. THOMPSON: Well, you're wrong again. And if you intend to use this testimony,	16	record that I am allowed to impeach	
finished. MR. THOMPSON: I don't believe that I did. MR. FRIEDMAN: Well, I believe you did. MR. THOMPSON: Well, you're wrong again. And if you intend to use this testimony,	17	witnesses during my cross-examination.	
MR. THOMPSON: I don't believe that I did. MR. FRIEDMAN: Well, I believe you did. MR. THOMPSON: Well, you're wrong again. And if you intend to use this testimony,	18	MR. FRIEDMAN: It seemed like you were	
did. MR. FRIEDMAN: Well, I believe you did. MR. THOMPSON: Well, you're wrong again. And if you intend to use this testimony,	19	finished.	
MR. FRIEDMAN: Well, I believe you did. MR. THOMPSON: Well, you're wrong again. And if you intend to use this testimony,	20	MR. THOMPSON: I don't believe that I	
MR. THOMPSON: Well, you're wrong again. And if you intend to use this testimony,	21	did.	
24 And if you intend to use this testimony,	22	MR. FRIEDMAN: Well, I believe you did.	
	23	MR. THOMPSON: Well, you're wrong again.	
because she walked out during the middle of	24	And if you intend to use this testimony,	
\	25	because she walked out during the middle of	(

Natalie Walewitsch

	ĺ		
\ ./	1	N. Walewitsch	29
•	2	my cross-examination, I will object.	
	3	MR. FRIEDMAN: She walked out at the end	
	4	of your cross-examination.	
i	5	MR. THOMPSON: It was not the end of my	
	6	cross-examination because I didn't get to	
	7	finish. I get to determine when the end of	
	8	my cross-examination is, you don't.	
	9	MISS PINCOW: Roger, we will impeach	· .
	10	this witness, whatever it takes.	
	11	(Time noted: 2:10 p.m.)	
	12		
	13		
	14	NATALIE WALEWITSCH	
	15		
	16		
	17		
	18		:
	19		
	20	•	
	21		
	22	\cdot	
	23		
	24		
)	25		

Natalie Walewitsch

1	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	30
2	STATE OF NEW YORK)	
3	COUNTY OF NEW YORK)	
4		
5	I, NATALIE WALEWITSCH, hereby certify, I have	
6	read the transcript of my testimony taken under	:
7	oath in my deposition of June 4, 2008; that the	
8	transcript is a true, complete and correct record	
9	of what was asked, answered and said during this	
10	deposition, and that the answers on the record as	
11	given by me are true and correct.	
12		
13		(
14	NATALIE WALEWITSCH	
15		
16		
17	Subscribed and sworn to before me	
18		
19	thisday of, 2008.	
20		
21	Notary Public	
22		
23		
24		
25		Į
		<i>\</i>

Trade Secret/Commercially Sensitive Natalie Walewitsch

f			
) 1			31
1	INDEX OF WITNESSES		
2	WITNESS EXAMINATION BY	PAGE	
3	Natalie Walewitsch Mr. Friedman	4	
4	Mr. Thompson	11	
5			
6			
7	INDEX OF EXHIBITS		
8	NO. DESCRIPTION	PAGE	
9	5 document-food product	23	
10	6 letter	24	
11			
12			
13			
14	INDEX OF REQUESTS		
15	DESCRIPTION	PAGE	
16			
17			
18	INDEX OF RULINGS		
19			,
20			·
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
•			

		32
1	CERTIFICATE	
2	STATE OF NEW YORK)	
3	COUNTY OF KINGS)	
4	I, SARA FREUND, a Notary Public	
5	within and for the State of New York, do hereby	
6	certify:	
7	THAT NATALIE WALEWITSCH, the witness	
8	whose deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was	
9	duly sworn by me and that such deposition is a true	
10	record of the testimony given by such witness.	
11	I further certify that I am not	
12	related to any of the parties to this action by	
13	blood or marriage; and that I am in no way	!
14	interested in the outcome of this matter.	Ì
15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto	
16	set my hand this 16th day of June, 2008.	
17		
18	Sara Freund	
19	SARA FREUND	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
l		/

1	Trade Secret/Commercially Sensitive				
2			ERRATA	SHEET	
3					
4	Page	Line	Change from	Change to	Reason
5	·				
6					
7					-
8				•	
9		-			·
10	<u>—</u>		-		
11	-				
12		-		·	
13					
14				-	
15					
16		•			4
17		Manager and the second			
18		•			
19			•		•
20		NAMES OF TAXABLE PARTY OF TAXABLE PARTY.			
21					
22			nd sworn to bef	,	
23	this		day of	, 2008	
24				-	
25		Notar	y Public		

TOBY FELDMAN INCORPORATED



COHEN PONTANI LIEBERMAN & PAVANE LLP

551 Fifth Avenue, New York, NY 10176 phone 212.687.2770 fax 212.972.5487 www.cplplaw.com

Myron Cohen (1927-2005)
Thomas C. Pontani, Ph.D.
Lance J. Lieberman
Martin B. Pavane
Thomas Langer
Michael C. Stuart
William A. Alper
Edward M. Weisz
Kent H. Cheng, Ph.D.
Julia S. Kim
Alfred W. Froebrich
Lisa A. Ferrari
Alan J. Morrison
Alan Tenenbaum

Mindy H. Chettih Vincent M. Fazzari Alfred H. Hemingway, Jr. Roger S. Thompson Teodor J. Holmberg Richard D. Margiano Darren S. Mogil David P. Badanes Mher Hartoonian Alphonso A. Collins Edward V. DiLello Edward M. Reisner Bradley M. Marazas* Marilyn Neiman Hua Gao Atul R. Singh Enshan Hong** lan R. Blum

- * MA only
- ** NJ only

August 11, 2008

By ESTTA Filing

Andrew P. Baxley, Esq.
Interlocutory Attorney
Trademark Trial and Appeal Board
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Alexandria, VA 22313

Four Seasons Dairy, Inc. v. International Gold Star Trading Corp.

Cancellation No. 92/042,082

Our ref.: 5060-2L

Dear Mr. Baxley:

Re:

We represent the registrant, International Gold Star Trading Corp. ("Gold Star"), in the above matter.

I write this letter to ask for a ruling on whether Gold Star is obligated to seek a subpoena to secure the completion of the cross-examination testimony of a witness, Ms. Natalie Walewitsch, called by the petitioner, Four Seasons Dairy, Inc. ("Four Seasons") during its testimony period. Ms. Walewitsch refused to answer certain questions during her cross-examination, and then walked out before her cross-examination was complete, refusing to answer any further questions.

Ms. Walewitsch is a third party witness who had been originally scheduled to testify on April 16, 2008, during Four Seasons' testimony period. Four Seasons elected not to issue a subpoena for her testimony, and she canceled shortly before the scheduled deposition, claiming the press of some unspecified business matter. Four Seasons sought, and received, an extension of its testimony period for the purpose of taking her deposition, which was ultimately re-set for June 4, 2008. A full and complete copy of the transcript of that deposition as provided by counsel for Four Seasons is attached hereto as Exhibit A. References below to page and line numbers are to this transcript.

Ms. Walewitsch's deposition commenced on the appointed day. Prior to the commencement of the direct examination, Mr. Friedman stated for the record stipulations that counsel had reached, including that all objections except as to form were reserved. (page 4, lines 11-15). Counsel for Four Seasons conducted and completed his direct examination (pages 4-11). I began my cross-examination and, partway through the cross-examination (page 27, lines 5, et seq.), Ms. Walewitsch walked out of the deposition, frustrating my attempt to complete my examination.

Ms. Walewitsch's exit was preceded by a series of objections by counsel for Four Seasons to the content of the cross-examination (notwithstanding the stipulation that such objections were reserved), and Ms. Walewitch's refusal to answer some specific questions relating to her company's sales of goods bearing the trademark at issue (e.g, page 21, lines 7-24; and page 22, line 24 – page 23, line 6).

Andrew P. Baxley, Esq. August 11, 2008 Page 2

Given that I was unable to complete the cross-examination, Ms. Walewitsch's testimony should not be considered by the Board, as it would be prejudicial to Gold Star for the Board to consider testimony that has not been fully tested by cross-examination. However, given the Board's usual practice of not considering a motion to strike except as part of its consideration of all trial testimony, Gold Star seeks a resolution of the issue before its testimony period ends.

According to T.B.M.P. § 707.03(d), a party whose questions are not answered at a deposition may have recourse through 35 U.S.C. § 24 to the local U.S. District Court for an order compelling the testimony of the recalcitrant witness. However, that statute requires that the witness have been served with a subpoena, a process that Four Seasons declined to follow, leaving Gold Star without such recourse for completing its cross-examination (although Four Seasons was able to complete its direct examination).

T.B.M.P. § 707.03(d) further provides "[t]hat there is no mechanism for obtaining from the Board, prior to final hearing, a ruling on the propriety of an objection to a question propounded during a testimony deposition," leaving the issue for resolution by the Board as part of its determination at the final hearing. This section also provides that "the Board may presume that an answer would have been unfavorable to the party whose witness refused to answer, or may find that the refusal to answer reduces the probative value of the witness's testimony." That leaves Gold Star without remedy where the witness not only refused to answer *specific* questions, but completely walked out of the deposition, leaving many questions not only unanswered, but unasked.

Gold Star could, in theory, attempt to subpoena Ms. Walewitsch to compel her to answer its remaining cross-examination questions, but it is submitted that it would be unfair to Gold Star to impose such a burden on it in order to conclude its cross-examination of Four Seasons' witness. The burden should be on Four Seasons to insure that its witnesses appear and testify fully.

Accordingly, Gold Star seeks a ruling on how to proceed to either secure a completion of cross-examination of Ms. Walewitsch, at Four Seasons' expense (insofar as subpoena expenses are concerned – Gold Star realizes that the Board does not have the authority to award any financial sanctions directly, T.B.M.P. § 502.05), or to exclude her testimony if Gold Star's cross-examination is not completed.

The undersigned is available for a telephone conference if that would be of assistance in resolving the issue, although I will be in a deposition in Pensacola, Florida, on Wednesday, August 13, 2008 leaving mid-day on Tuesday August 12, 2008.

Very truly yours,

COHEN PONTANI LIEBERMAN & PAVANE LLP

cc: Samuel Friedman, Esq. (by e-mail)